# Linear Models for Regression

Sadegh Eskandari

Department of Computer Science, University of Guilan

#### **Remember: Algorithms that Can Learn**



#### **Remember: Supervised Learning**

- Suppose that we are given a training set comprising N observations of random variable x (training set):  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)^T$
- Moreover, for each observation  $x_i$  we are given a target value  $t_i$  (training target):

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N)^T$$



# **Remember: Polynomial Curve Fitting**

• 
$$\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$$
 is generated uniformaly in [0,1].  
•  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i | t_i = \sin(2\pi x) + \mathcal{N}(0, 0.3), i = 1, 2, ..., N\}$ 

- The generating function in not known and the aim is to estimate it such that:
  - The estimated function should describe the training data
  - **The estimated function should generalize to new data**
- In particular, we shall fit the data using a polynomial function of the form

$$y(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + w_1 \mathbf{x} + w_2 \mathbf{x}^2 + \dots + w_M \mathbf{x}^M$$

- □ *M*: the order of polynomial
- □  $w \equiv [w_0, w_1, ..., w_M]$ : The model parameters (unknown in advance)
- $y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$  is a linear function of the coefficients  $\mathbf{w}$ . Such functions are called linear models.



# **Remember: Polynomial Curve Fitting**

• An error function (loss function) is required to measure the misfit between the function  $y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ , for any given  $\mathbf{w}$ , and the training data points.

$$E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2$$

E(w) is a quadratic function of w,
Therefore \$\frac{\partial E}{\partial w}\$ is linear in the elements of w, and so the minimization of the error function has a unique solution, which can be found in closed form.



#### **Linear Basis Function Models**

#### **Linear Basis Function Models**

• Linear Regression

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x})$$

- Functions  $\phi_i(\mathbf{x})$  are known as basis functions
- The parameter  $w_0$  allows for any fixed offset in the data and is sometimes called a bias Ο parameter
- It is often convenient to define an additional dummy basis function  $\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  so that

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$$

- $\mathbf{w} = (w_0, w_1, ..., w_{M-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$   $\boldsymbol{\phi} = (\phi_0, \phi_1, ..., \phi_{M-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$

# **Linear Basis Function Models**

- Variant of basis functions:
  - $\Box \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$  (also linear with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$ )
    - Limitation: unable to model non-linear data

 $\Box \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^j$  (polynomial)

- Limitation: polynomial basis functions are global functions of the input variable, so that changes in one region of input space affect all other regions.
- This can be resolved by dividing the input space up into regions and fit a different polynomial in each region, leading to spline functions

$$\Box \ \phi_j(x) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\left(x-\mu_j\right)^2}{2s^2}\right\} \text{(Gaussian basis function)}$$
$$\Box \ \phi_j(x) = \sigma\left(\frac{x-\mu_j}{s}\right) \text{ where } \sigma(a) = \frac{1}{1+\exp(-a)} \text{ (Sigmoidal basis function)}$$

 $\circ~$  The analysis here is independent of the particular choice of basis function set  $\textcircled{\mbox{\footnotesize \mbox{$\odot$}}}$ 

# **Maximum likelihood and least squares**

#### • **Remember:**

□  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$  is generated uniformly in [0,1]. □  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_i | t_i = \sin(2\pi x) + \mathcal{N}(0, 0.3), i = 1, 2, ..., N\}$ 

• Assume that the target variable t is given by a deterministic function  $y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$  with additive Gaussian noise so that

$$t = y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) + \epsilon$$

 $\Box \epsilon$  is a zero mean Gaussian random variable with precision  $\beta$ .

**Thus** 

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t|y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1})$$





# **Maximum likelihood and least squares**

$$p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t|y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}) \qquad y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$$

- Consider a data set of inputs  $\mathbf{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$  with corresponding target values  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ .
- Assuming that the data points are iid, the likelihood function is expressed as:

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(t_n | \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}, \beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n | y(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{w}), \beta^{-1}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n | \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n), \beta^{-1})$$

- Note: in supervised learning problems (such as regression and classification), we are not seeking to model the distribution of the input variables.
  - $\circ$  x will always appear in the set of conditioning variables,
  - we will drop the **x** from expressions such as  $p(t|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}, \beta)$  in order to keep the notation uncluttered.

### **Maximum likelihood and least squares**

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w},\beta) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n),\beta^{-1})$$

• Taking the logarithm of the likelihood function, and making use of the standard form for the univariate Gaussian, we have

$$\ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w},\beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \mathcal{N}(t_n|\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n),\beta^{-1}) = \frac{N}{2} \ln \beta - \frac{N}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \beta \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{t_n - \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)\}^2\right)$$

• Therefore

 $\boldsymbol{w}_{ML} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{w}} \ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w},\beta)$ 

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0(\mathbf{x}_1) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_1) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ \phi_0(\mathbf{x}_2) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_2) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_0(\mathbf{x}_N) & \phi_1(\mathbf{x}_N) & \cdots & \phi_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}_N) \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{w},\beta)}{\partial w} = 0$   $w_{ML} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{\Phi})^{-1}\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{t}$  **Remember:** Sum of Squares Error  $(E_D(w))$ 

Φ: The Design Matrix
 (Φ<sup>T</sup>Φ)<sup>-1</sup>Φ<sup>T</sup>t: The Normal Equation for the least square problems
 (Φ<sup>T</sup>Φ)<sup>-1</sup>Φ<sup>T</sup>: The Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse (a generalization of the of matrix inverse to nonsquare matrices)

# **Sequential Learning**

 $\boldsymbol{w}_{ML} = \left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{t}$ 

- Maximum likelihood method is a batch technique, which involves processing the entire training set in one go.
- Then, it can be computationally costly for large data sets.
- If the data set is sufficiently large, it may be worthwhile to use sequential algorithms, also known as on-line algorithms
- The most well-known sequential learning technique is stochastic gradient descent (also known as sequential gradient descent)
- If the error function comprises a sum over data points  $E = \sum_{n} E_{n}$ , then after presentation of pattern *n*, the stochastic gradient descent algorithm updates the parameter vector *w* using

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_n$$

 $\Box$   $\tau$ : The iteration number

 $\square$   $\eta$ : The learning rate parameter

 $\Box$  The value of **w** is initialized to some starting vector  $w^{(0)}$ 

# **Sequential Learning**

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_n \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \{t_n - \boldsymbol{w}^T \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)\}^2$$

 $\circ$  For the case of the sum-of-squares error function, this gives

$$\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \big( \boldsymbol{t}_n - \boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n) \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_n)$$

□ This is known as least-mean-squares or the LMS algorithm.

# **Sequential Learning**

 $\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau+1)} = \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tau)} - \eta \nabla E_n$ 

• The value of  $\eta$  needs to be chosen with care to ensure that the algorithm converges

□ Very large learning rate: the algorithm diverges (left figure)

U Very small learning rate: the algorithm takes long time to converge (middle figure)

□ Best: the learning step size is proportional to the slope of the cost function, so the steps gradually get smaller as the parameters approach the minimum (right figure)



Figures from hands-on machine learning (Aurélien Géron)

# **Regularized Least Squares**

- **Remember**: We introduced the idea of regularization to control overfitting.
- In regularization technique, the total error function to be minimized takes the form

 $E_D(\boldsymbol{w}) + \lambda E_w(\boldsymbol{w})$ 

• The regularization term takes the following general form:

$$E_w(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M \|w_j\|^q$$

- $\Box$  q = 1(lasso): generally results sparse models
- $\Box$  q = 2: (weight decay in machine learning literature and parameter shrinkage in statistics): encourages weight values to decay towards zero generally results sparse models



-557682.99

125201.43

 $w_8^\star$ 

 $w_{9}^{\star}$ 

# **Multiple Outputs**

- $\circ$  We have considered the case of a single target variable *t*.
- In some applications, we may wish to predict K > 1 target variables, which we denote collectively by the target vector **t**.
- $\circ$  Two approaches can be used for this problem:
  - □ Introducing a different set of basis functions for each component of t, leading to multiple, independent regression problems.
  - Using the same set of basis functions to model all of the components of the target vector (this is a more interesting approach)

 $y_1$ 

 $y_2$ 

3

 $y_K$ 

y

 $\circ~$  For the case of second approach:

$$\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$$

- $\Box$  y: a K-dimensional column vector
- **W**: an  $M \times K$  matrix of parameters
- $\phi(\mathbf{x}): an M-dimensional column vector with elements <math>\phi_j(\mathbf{x})$ , with  $\phi_0(\mathbf{x}) = 1$

VV -						
тал. Т						
	<i>W</i> <sub>0<i>K</i></sub>	<i>W</i> <sub>1<i>K</i></sub>		W <sub>MK</sub>		
	÷	÷		÷	:	
	<i>w</i> <sub>02</sub>	<i>w</i> <sub>12</sub>		<i>W</i> <sub><i>M</i>2</sub>		
	$w_{01}$	$w_{11}$		$w_{M1}$		
, r					$\phi_1$	

 $\phi$ 

# **Multiple Outputs**

• Suppose we take the conditional distribution of the target vector to be an isotropic Gaussian of the form

$$p(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}|\mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}), \beta^{-1}\mathbf{I})$$

- If we have a set of observations  $t_1, ..., t_N$ , we can combine these into a matrix **T** of size  $N \times K$  such that the  $n^{\text{th}}$  row is given by  $t_n^T$ . Similarly, we can combine the input vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N$  into a matrix **X**.
- $\circ~$  The log likelihood function is then given by

$$\ln p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}, \beta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{t}_{n} | \mathbf{W}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n}), \beta^{-1} \mathbf{I})$$
$$= \frac{NK}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi}\right) - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{t}_{n} - \mathbf{W}^{T} \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}_{n})\|^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln p(\mathbf{T}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{W}, \beta)}{\partial \mathbf{W}} = 0$$

$$W_{ML} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{\Phi})^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{T}$$

<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub> <b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>11</sub> x <sub>21</sub>	x <sub>12</sub> x <sub>22</sub>	 $x_{1D}$ $x_{2D}$
	:	:	:
$\mathbf{x}_N$	$x_{N1}$	<i>x</i> <sub>N2</sub>	 x <sub>ND</sub>

X 

 $\mathbf{t}_N \mid t_{N1} \quad t_{N2} \quad \dots \quad t_{NK}$ 

- Suppose we model the function  $h(\mathbf{x})$  using a parametric function  $y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$  governed by a parameter vector  $\mathbf{w}$
- For any given data set  $\mathcal{D}$ , we can run our learning algorithm and obtain a prediction function  $y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D})$
- $\circ~$  The squared loss of the prediction takes the form

$$\{y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D}) - h(\mathbf{x})\}^2$$

- Suppose we have a large number of iid data sets each of size N. Then  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D})]$  represents the average prediction function over the ensemble of data sets
- It can be show that the average squared loss of the prediction over the ensemble of data sets takes the form  $\nabla$

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\{y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D}) - h(\mathbf{x})\}^{2}] = \{\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D})] - h(\mathbf{x})\}^{2} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[\{y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[y(\mathbf{x}; \mathcal{D})]\}^{2}] \}$$
  
Bias Variance







**Figure:** Plot of Squared bias and variance together with their sum, corresponding to the results in the previous figure. Also shown is the average test set error for a test data set size of 1000 points.

- □ The minimum value of  $(bias)^2 + variance$  o ccurs around  $\ln \lambda = -0.31$
- It is close to the value that gives the minimum error on the test data

#### **Model Selection**

# **Model Selection**

#### Remember

• Polynomial curve fitting using regularized least squares

model  $y(x; w) = w_0 + w_1 x + w_2 x^2 + \dots + w_M x^M$ 

Error

 $E(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \{y(x_n, \mathbf{w}) - t_n\}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|^2$ 

- Question: How to select an appropriate model for data (linear, non-linear, neural network, ...)?
- Question: For a selected model, how to select its hyper-parameters (*M* and  $\lambda$  for linear model, num of layers and neurons for a neural network, ... )
- Idea 1: Choose hyperparameters that work best on the training data

Train

**BAD:** remember the over-fitting problem in polynomial curve fitting with M = 9

• Idea 2: Choose hyperparameters that work best on test data

Train

Test

**BAD:** No idea how algorithm will perform on new data (never do this)

#### **Model Selection**

• Idea 3: Split data into train, validation; choose hyperparameters on validation and evaluate on test

	Train	Validation	Test	
	Better: but not useful for small data sets			
0	<b>Idea 4:</b> Cross-Validation: Split data into folds, try each fold as validation and average the results			run 1

Very useful for small data sets, but bad for complex models (models with many hyper-parameters such as neural networks)



Better for small data sets